UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,237	01/23/2004	Bruce A. Rogers	ROG030.10005	8491
JOHN F. LETCHFORD ARCHER & GREINER, P.C.			EXAMINER	
			MANAHAN, TODD E	
ONE CENENN HADDONFIEI	IIAL SQUARE LD, NJ 08033		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3732	
				
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/24/2007	DADED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		XX			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Assistant Commence	10/764,237	ROGERS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Todd E. Manahan	3732			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. EANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>o</i>	<u> 1 November 2006</u> .	İ			
a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
 Since this application is in condition for allocal closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of th	•	•			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-10 is/are withdres 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-7 and 11-15 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Intonious S	Summary (PTO-413)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/23/04</u>. 	Paper No(s	summary (P10-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: .

Species I: figures 2-4

Species II: figures 6-9

Species III: figures 10-11, 14-17

Species IV: figures 12A-12C

Species V: figures 13A-13C

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 and 12-15 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

10/764,237 Art Unit: 3732

Applicant's election without traverse of Species II, figures 6-9, in the reply filed on 1 November 2006 is acknowledged.

Claims 8-10 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 1 November 2006.

The restriction requirement is hereby made FINAL.

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-4, 6, 11 and 13 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-4, 5, 9, and 6, respectively of copending Application No. 10/763,846. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3732

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wilde (United Sates Patent No. 870,330).

Wilde discloses a device comprising a first body member a and a second body member b. the first and second body members include gripping portions 7,11 adapted to be squeezed by a user. Hinge means 19 pivotally connect the body members. The device further includes adjustment means coaxially arranged with respect to the hinge means for causing the gripping portions to remain at a point at which the gripping portions are squeezed together by a user. The adjustment means comprises a slip friction mechanism. The slip friction mechanism includes an irregular first surface 10 associated with the first body member, an irregular second surface 15 associated with the second body member, and a compression spring 18 for maintaining contact between the first and second surfaces. The irregular surfaces include toothed surfaces.

Claims 1-7 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sheehan (United Sates Patent No. 3,546,750).

Sheehan discloses a device comprising a first body member 22 and a second body member 24. The first and second body members include gripping portions adapted to be squeezed by a user. Hinge means 38 pivotally connect the body members. The device further

10/764,237

Art Unit: 3732

includes adjustment means coaxially arranged with respect to the hinge means for causing the gripping portions to remain at a point at which the gripping portions are squeezed together by a user. The adjustment means comprises a slip friction mechanism. The slip friction mechanism includes an irregular first surface 32 associated with the first body member, an irregular second surface 35 associated with the second body member, and a biasing mechanism 38 for maintaining contact between the first and second surfaces. The irregular surfaces include toothed surfaces. One of the surfaces is provided on an insert (see figures 4 and 7).

Claims 1-3 and 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rizzuto (WO 02/058504).

Rizzuto discloses a device comprising a first body member and a second body member. The first and second body members include gripping portions 18 adapted to be squeezed by a user. Hinge means 10 pivotally connect the body members. The device further includes adjustment means coaxially arranged with respect to the hinge means for causing the gripping portions to remain at a point at which the gripping portions are squeezed together by a user. The adjustment means comprises a slip friction mechanism. The slip friction mechanism includes a first surface 23 associated with the first body member, a second surface 25 associated with the second body member, and a spring 26 for maintaining contact between the first and second surfaces. The spring is a torsion spring connected to each of the first and second body members.

10/764,237 Art Unit: 37

Art Unit: 3732

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Todd E. Manahan whose telephone number is 571 272- 4713. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Rodriguez can be reached on 571 272-4964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Todd E. Manahan Primary Examiner Art Unit 3732

T.E. Manahan 21 April 2007